

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FIL		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/695,332	10	/25/2000	Michael O. Okoroafor	1555P1	1488	
24959	7590	06/04/2003				
PPG INDU		· -		EXAM	EXAMINER	
INTELLEC' ONE PPG P		PERTY DEPT		SERGENT, RABON A		
PITTSBUR	GH, PA 15	272		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				1711		
				DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Se									
	Application No.		Applicant(s)						
	09/695,332		OKOROAFOR ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
	Rabon Sergent		1711						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status	4								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A		_1							
,	is action is non-fina								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-119</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from considerat	ion.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-119</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	o maria aiko o madan 25 l	U.C.C. C 440/a)	(d) on (f)						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-	·(a) or (1).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) 🔲 1	nterview Summary (Notice of Informal Pa Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/695,332 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

1. The election of species requirement has been withdrawn.

2. Claims 1-119 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Firstly, within claims 1, 35, and 69, the isocyanate species of (a) and (a)(ii) and the amine groups of (b) should be referred to in the alternative.

Secondly, the reference to compounds containing isocyanate and/or isothiocyanate groups as "polycyanates" is confusing, because polycyanates are distinct from polyisocyanates in terms of structure and chemistry.

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/695,332 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-18, 21-52, 55-84, and 87-119 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-103 of copending Application No. 09/695,325. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each set of claims is drawn to compositions and processes comprising equivalent reactants in overlapping amounts.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (703) 308-2982.

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER

R. Sergent

June 2, 2003